arXiv:math/0202061v1 [math.OA] 7 Feb 2002

A COMPARISON BETWEEN THE MAX AND MIN NORMS ON $C^*(F_n) \otimes C^*(F_n)$

FLORIN RĂDULESCU

Abstract. Let F_n, $n \geq 2$, be the free group with n generators, denoted by $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$. Let $C^*(F_n)$ be the full C^*-algebra of F_n. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the vector subspace of the algebraic tensor product $C^*(F_n) \otimes C^*(F_n)$, spanned by $1 \otimes 1, U_1 \otimes 1, \dots, U_n \otimes 1, 1 \otimes U_1, \dots, 1 \otimes U_n$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ be the minimal and maximal C^* tensor norms on $C^*(F_n) \otimes C^*(F_n)$, and use the same notation for the corresponding (matrix) norms induced on $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{X}$.

Identifying $\mathcal{X}$ with the subspace of $C^*(F_{2n})$ obtained by mapping $U_1 \otimes 1, \dots, 1 \otimes U_n$ into the $2n$ generators and the identity into the identity, we get a matrix norm $\|\cdot\|_{C^*(F_{2n})}$ which dominates the $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ norm, on $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{X}$.

In this paper we prove that, with $N = 2n+1 = \dim \mathcal{X}$, we have

$$\|X\|_{\max} \leq \|X\|_{C^*(F_{2n})} \leq \left(N^2 - N\right)^{1/2} \|X\|_{\min}, \quad X \in M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{X}.$$

Let F_n be the free group on n generators, $n \geq 2$. Let $C^*(F_n)$ be the full C^*-algebra associated with F_n (see, e.g., [Wa]). As proved in [EL], [Ta], on the algebraic tensor product $C^*(F_n) \otimes C^*(F_n)$ there exist a maximal and a minimal C^*-algebra tensor norm, denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ respectively. Kirchberg, in [Ki], has revived the study of the C^*-tensor norms on $A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}$. One particular case of his very deep results shows that the equality of the two norms on $C^*(F_\infty) \otimes C^*(F_\infty)$ is equivalent to Connes's embedding problem ([Co]).

In [Pi2], it is proven that if E is a subspace of the algebraic tensor product $A_1 \otimes A_2$ of two C^*-algebras A_1 and A_2, which has a basis consisting of unitaries that generate (as an algebra) $A_1 \otimes A_2$, then the complete isometry of the operator-space structures induced on E by the max and min norms implies the equality of the $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ norms on $A_1 \otimes A_2$. This method is then used in [Pi2] to reprove (and generalize) Kirchberg's theorem that $C^*(F_n) \otimes_{\max} B(H) = C^*(F) \otimes_{\min} B(H)$.

In this paper we consider the $N = 2n+1$-dimensional subspace of $C^*(F_n) \otimes C^*(F_n)$ generated by $\{1 \otimes 1, U_1 \otimes 1, \dots, U_n \otimes 1, 1 \otimes U_1, \dots, 1 \otimes U_n\}$. This space inherits operator-space structures ([BP], [ER], [Pi1]) corresponding to the two embeddings. We denote the corresponding norms on $\mathcal{X} \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$, for all k in $\mathbb{N}$, by $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$.

We prove that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ dominates the $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ norm, on all the tensor products in $\mathcal{X} \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by a factor $(N^2 - N)^{1/2}$, where $N = 2n + 1$. More precisely, we prove that

$$\|X\|_{\max} \leq \left(N^2 - N\right)^{1/2} \|X\|_{\min}, \qquad X \in M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{X}.$$

In particular, our result, in the terminology introduced by Pisier [Pi1], also shows that the δ_{cb} (multiplicative) distance between the two N-dimensional operator spaces in $C^*(F_n) \otimes C^*(F_n)$, corresponding to the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$, is at most $(N^2 - N)^{1/2}$ (in general [Pi1], the δ_{cb} distance between two finite-dimensional operator spaces of dimension N is bounded by N).

This work has been supported by the NSF grant DMS99-70486 and by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

The author wishes to thank Pierre de la Harpe for the warm welcome and mathematical discussions at the University of Genève, during the summer of 2001.

Definitions

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be a natural number and let $(e_{a,b})_{a,b=1}^{k}$ be a matrix unit in $M_k(\mathbb{C})$. Let $W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_{2n}$ be the generators of F_{2n} and let $W_0 = \mathrm{Id}$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the subspace of $C^*(F_{2n})$ spanned by $W_0, W_1, \ldots, W_{2n}$. Let X be an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{X}$. Then X^*X has the form

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{i \neq j} A_{ia,jb} W_i^* W_j + B_{a,b} \,\mathrm{Id} \right) \otimes e_{a,b}.$$

The norm $\|X\|_{C^*(F_{2n})}$ for X in $C^*(F_{2n})$ is computed ([Wa], [BP]) as the supremum over all Hilbert spaces H and all unitaries $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_{2n}$ acting on H, and all $\xi = \bigoplus_{a=1}^{k} \xi_a$, $\sum \|\xi_a\|^2 = 1$, in $H \oplus \cdots \oplus H$ (k times), of the quantity

$$(0) \qquad \langle X^*X\xi, \xi \rangle = \sum_{a,b=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{i \neq j} A_{ia,jb} \left\langle W_i^* W_j \xi_a, \xi_b \right\rangle + B_{a,b} \langle \xi_a, \xi_b \rangle \right).$$

Since $C^*(F_{2n})$ is residually finite [Cho] (see also [Wa], [BL]), it follows that the norm of X^*X might be computed using only finite-dimensional unitaries.

Let $\tilde{V}_1, \ldots, \tilde{V}_n$ be the generators of a different copy of the free group F_n. We identify $\mathcal{X}$ with a subspace of the algebraic tensor product $C^*(F_n) \otimes C^*(F_n)$ by mapping 1 into $1 \otimes 1$, and W_i into $\tilde{V}_i \otimes$

1 for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, W_{i+n} into $1 \otimes \tilde{V}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. With this identification, and by using again the fact that $C^*(F_n)$ is residually finite, it follows that the norm $\|X\|_{\max}$ viewed as an element of $(C^*(F_n) \otimes_{\max} C^*(F_n)) \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$ is computed by the same supremum as the one used for $\|X\|_{C^*(F_{2n})}$, with the additional restriction, on the unitaries $U_1, \dots, U_{2n}$, that for $1 \le i \le n < j \le 2n$, we have $[U_i, U_j] = 0$.

Clearly this gives (as in [BP]) that $\|X\|_{C^*(F_{2n})} \ge \|X\|_{C^*(F_n) \otimes_{\max} C^*(F_n)}$. The norm $\|X\|_{\min}$ for X in $\mathcal{X} \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$, viewed as an element in $C^*(F_n) \otimes_{\min} C^*(F_n) \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$, is then computed by the same supremum formulas as for $\|X\|_{\max}$, by imposing the additional condition that the Hilbert space H splits as $K_1 \otimes K_2$ and there exist unitaries $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ acting on K_1, and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ unitaries on K_2, such that $U_i = \alpha_i \otimes 1$ and $U_{i+n} = 1 \otimes \beta_i$ for $1 \le i \le n$ (see also [Vo]). Motivated by this we introduce the following definition:

Definition 1. A triplet $\left(H, (U_i)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\eta_a)_{a=1}^{k}\right)$ consisting of a Hilbert space H, unitaries $(U_i)_{i=1}^{2n}$ acting on H and vectors $(\eta_a)_{a=1}^{k}$ is called *in tensor position* if there exist a Hilbert space K, unitaries $\tilde{U}_1, \dots, \tilde{U}_n$, $\tilde{V}_1, \dots, \tilde{V}_n$ on K, vectors $(\tilde{\eta}_a)_{a=1}^{k}$ in $K \otimes K$ with the following properties. Denote $\tilde{W}_i = \tilde{U}_i \otimes \mathrm{Id}_K$ for $1 \le i \le n$ and $\tilde{W}_{i+n} = \mathrm{Id}_K \otimes \tilde{V}_i$, $1 \le i \le n$. Also denote $U_0 = \mathrm{Id}_H$, $\tilde{W}_0 = \mathrm{Id}_{K \otimes K}$. With these notations the following should hold true for $0 \le i, j \le n$, $1 \le a, b \le k$:

$$\langle U_i \eta_a, U_j \eta_b \rangle = \left\langle \tilde{W}_i \tilde{\eta}_a, \tilde{W}_j \tilde{\eta}_b \right\rangle .$$

MAIN RESULT

Our main result gives a comparison between the norms $\|\cdot\|_{C^*(F_{2n})}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ on the space $\mathcal{X}$ (and its tensor products $\mathcal{X} \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$). To do this we use the fact that, for any triplet $\left(H, (U_i)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\xi_a)_{a=1}^{k}\right)$, $U_0 = \mathrm{Id}$, the information contained in the matrix $\langle U_i \xi_a, U_j \xi_b \rangle$, $0 \le i, j \le 2n$, is unchanged (except for the Gram–Schmidt matrix of ξ_a) if we replace H, U_i and ξ_a by a direct sum and linear combinations of elementary triplets $\left(H^\alpha, (U_i^\alpha)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\xi_a^\alpha)_{a=1}^{k}\right)$ having the property that the vectors $\{U_i^\alpha \xi_a^\alpha\}_{i,a}$ are an orthonormal system (with the exception of some repetitions). The following lemma is an obvious property for triplets as in Definition 1:

Lemma 1. *Let Λ be a countable index set. Assume the triplets $\left(H^\alpha, (U_i^\alpha)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\eta_a^\alpha)_{a=1}^{k}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ are in tensor position. Let $(\mu_a^\alpha)_{a=1,\, \alpha \in \Lambda}^{k}$ be* *arbitrary complex numbers such that* $\sum_\alpha |\mu_a^\alpha|^2 \|\eta_a^\alpha\|^2 < \infty$ *for all* a*. Let* $H = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Lambda} H^\alpha$*, let* $U_i = \bigoplus U_i^\alpha$ *and* $\eta_a = \bigoplus \mu_a^\alpha \eta_a^\alpha$*.*

Then the triplet $\left(H, (U_i)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\eta_a)_{a=1}^{k}\right)$ *is in tensor position.*

Proof. For each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, use the definition of tensor position to find a Hilbert space K^α and unitaries $\tilde{W}_i^\alpha = \tilde{U}_i^\alpha \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{K^\alpha}$, $1 \le i \le n$, $W_{i+n}^\alpha = \mathrm{Id}_{K^\alpha} \otimes \tilde{V}_i^\alpha$ as in Definition 1. Let $\tilde{K} = \bigoplus K^\alpha$ and $\tilde{H} = \tilde{K} \otimes \tilde{K} \supseteq \bigoplus_\alpha K^\alpha \otimes K^\alpha$. Let $\tilde{U}_i = \bigoplus_\alpha \tilde{U}_i^\alpha$, $\tilde{V}_i = \bigoplus_\alpha \tilde{V}_i^\alpha$ and $\tilde{W}_i = \tilde{U}_i \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\tilde{K}}$, $1 \le i \le n$, $\tilde{W}_{i+n} = \mathrm{Id}_{\tilde{K}} \otimes \tilde{V}_i$, $1 \le i \le n$, $\tilde{\eta}_a = \bigoplus \mu_a^\alpha \tilde{\eta}_a^\alpha$. Then the triplet $\left(\tilde{H}, \left(\tilde{W}_i\right)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\tilde{\eta}_a)_{a=1}^{k}\right)$ has the property that

$$\langle U_i\eta_a, U_j\eta_b\rangle = \left\langle \tilde{W}_i\tilde{\eta}_a, \tilde{W}_j\tilde{\eta}_b\right\rangle$$

for all $0 \le i, j \le 2n$, $a, b = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, and hence it is in tensor position. $\square$

Definition 2. For a triplet $\left(H, (U_i)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\eta_a)_{a=1}^{k}\right)$ (with $U_0 = \mathrm{Id}$), the *associated matrix* will be $X_{ia,jb}^U = X_{ia,jb} = \langle U_i\eta_a, U_j\eta_b\rangle$ for $0 \le i, j \le 2n$, $a, b = 1, 2, \ldots, k$.

Clearly $X_{ia,ib} = \langle \eta_a, \eta_b\rangle$ for all i and all a, b. Also $X_{ia,jb} = \overline{X_{jb,ia}}$ by definition.

Remark. The property in the definition of a triplet in tensor position is completely contained in the information in the matrix X.

Moreover, with the notations in Lemma 1, if X is the matrix for the triplet $\left(H, (U_i)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\eta_a)_{a=1}^{k}\right)$ and X^α is the matrix for the triplets $\left(H^\alpha, (U_i^\alpha)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\eta_a^\alpha)_{a=1}^{k}\right)$, then we have

$$X_{ia,jb} = \sum_\alpha \mu_a^\alpha \overline{\mu_b^\alpha} X_{ia,jb}^\alpha.$$

It is easy to construct elementary triplets in tensor position.

Lemma 2. *Let* H *be a separable Hilbert space. Let* ε *be a complex number of absolute value* 1*. Let* n*,* k *be strictly positive integers. Fix a vector* η *in* H *of length* 1*. Let* $\eta_a = \eta$ *for* $a = 1, \ldots, k$*. Let* $\alpha = (i_0, j_0)$*, with* $i_0, j_0 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2n\}$*,* $i_0 \ne j_0$*. Assume* $(U_i)_{i=1}^{2n}$ *are unitaries such that*

$$\bar{\varepsilon} U_{i_0}\eta_a = \bar{\varepsilon} U_{i_0}\eta = U_{j_0}\eta_a = U_{j_0}\eta$$

and such that the vectors

$$\bar{\varepsilon} U_{i_0}\eta = U_{j_0}\eta, \ \{U_k\eta \mid k \neq i_0, j_0\}$$

are pairwise orthogonal.

Then $\left(H, (U_i)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\eta_a)_{a=1}^{k}\right)$ *is in tensor position, and the associated matrix is, for* $0 \leq i, j \leq 2n$, $1 \leq a, b \leq k$,

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\alpha,\varepsilon}_{ia,jb} &= 1 \qquad \text{if } i = j,\\ X^{\alpha,\varepsilon}_{i_0a,j_0b} &= \varepsilon, \ X^{\alpha,\varepsilon}_{j_0a,i_0b} = \bar{\varepsilon},\\ X^{\alpha,\varepsilon}_{ia,jb} &= 0 \qquad \text{if } i \text{ or } j \text{ are not in } \{i_0, j_0\} \text{ and } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is obvious that this should be the formula for the matrix $X^{\alpha,\varepsilon}$ associated to the triplet.

We need to construct a specific triplet in tensor position, which gives the matrix $X^{\alpha,\varepsilon}$. To do this we split into two cases.

First we analyze the case where $0 \leq i_0 \leq n$ and $n < j_0 \leq 2n$. In this case consider a Hilbert space K of sufficiently large dimension. Let $e_0, e_1, \ldots$ be a basis for this Hilbert space and let η be the vector $e_0 \otimes e_0$. With the notations from Definition 1, let $\tilde{W}_{i_0} = \mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathrm{Id}$, $\tilde{W}_{j_0} = \bar{\varepsilon}\,\mathrm{Id} \otimes \mathrm{Id}$ (which corresponds to the choice $\tilde{U}_{i_0} = \mathrm{Id}$, $\tilde{V}_{j_0-n} = \bar{\varepsilon}\,\mathrm{Id}$).

For $i \neq i_0$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, let $\tilde{U}_i$ be a unitary on K, such that $\left\{\tilde{U}_i e_0\right\}_{i \neq i_0}$ and e_0 is an orthonormal system in K. (For example we can send $\tilde{U}_i e_0$ to other elements in the basis.) Likewise we choose $\tilde{V}_j e_0$ such that $\left\{\tilde{V}_j e_0\right\}_{j \neq j_0 - n}$ and e_0 is an orthonormal system. It is obvious now that the unitaries $\left(\tilde{U}_i\right)_{i=1}^{n}$, $\left(\tilde{V}_j\right)_{j=1}^{n}$ realize a triplet in tensor position as in the statement of Lemma 2.

The case $0 \leq i_0 < j_0 \leq n$ is easier and may be treated similarly. ☐

In the next lemma we provide a decomposition of an arbitrary triplet $\left(H, (U_i)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\eta_a)_{a=1}^{k}\right)$, with H finite-dimensional, into elementary triplets as in Lemma 2. The drawback ot this construction is that in the decomposition of $\left(H, (U_i)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\eta_a)_{a=1}^{k}\right)$, the vectors in the triplet have greater length (by a factor of $(N^2 - N)^{1/2}$, with $N = 2n + 1$).

Lemma 3. *Let H be a finite-dimensional vector space. Let n, k be strictly positive integer numbers. Let $U_0 = \mathrm{Id}$, $U_1, \ldots, U_{2n}$ be unitaries on H, and let $(\xi_a)_{a=1}^{k}$ be vectors in H.*

Then there exists a triplet $\left(\tilde{K}, \left(\tilde{U}_i\right)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\tilde{\eta}_a)_{a=1}^{k}\right)$ *in tensor position, such that* (*with* $N = 2n + 1$) *we have:*

i. $\langle U_i\xi_a, U_j\xi_b\rangle = \left\langle \tilde{U}_i\tilde{\eta}_a, \tilde{U}_j\tilde{\eta}_b\right\rangle$, $i \neq j$,

ii. $\langle \tilde{\eta}_a, \tilde{\eta}_b\rangle = (N^2 - N)\langle \xi_a, \xi_b\rangle$

for all $a, b = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ *and for all* $i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n$ (*and* $i \neq j$).

Proof. Let $(e_t)_{t\in T}$ be an orthonormal basis for H and let $\lambda^t_{i,a}$ be the components of the vector $U_i\xi_a$ in this basis for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n$, $a = 1, \ldots, k$, $t \in T$. Then we have that

$$\text{(1)}\quad \langle U_i\xi_a, U_j\xi_b\rangle = \sum_t \lambda^t_{i,a}\,\overline{\lambda^t_{j,b}}\,, \qquad i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n,\ a, b = 1, \ldots, k.$$

The usual factorization formula [Pe] gives, with $\varepsilon = \sqrt{-1}$, that for all $i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n$ and for all $a, b = 1, \ldots, k$ we have that

$$\text{(2)}\qquad \lambda^t_{i,a}\,\overline{\lambda^t_{j,b}} = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{s=0}^{3}\varepsilon^s\left(\lambda^t_{i,a} + \varepsilon^s\lambda^t_{j,a}\right)\,\overline{\left(\lambda^t_{i,b} + \varepsilon^s\lambda^t_{j,b}\right)}\,.$$

Note also that the following holds:

$$\text{(3)}\qquad \frac{1}{4}\sum_{s=0}^{3}\left(\lambda^t_{i,a} + \varepsilon^s\lambda^t_{j,a}\right)\,\overline{\left(\lambda^t_{i,b} + \varepsilon^s\lambda^t_{j,b}\right)} = \lambda^t_{i,a}\,\overline{\lambda^t_{i,b}} + \lambda^t_{j,a}\,\overline{\lambda^t_{j,b}}\,.$$

For a given pair $\alpha = (i, j)$, $0 \leq i < j \leq n$, $a, b = 1, \ldots, k$, $t \in T$, and $s = 0, 1, 2, 3$, we let

$$\theta^{t,s}_{\alpha,a} = \lambda^t_{i,a} + \varepsilon^s\lambda^t_{j,a}.$$

With these notations the relations (2) and (3) become respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(4)}\qquad \langle U_i\xi_a, U_j\xi_b\rangle &= \sum_t \lambda^t_{i,a}\lambda^t_{j,b}\\
&= \sum_{t,s}\varepsilon^s\theta^{t,s}_{\alpha,a}\,\overline{\theta^{t,s}_{\alpha,b}}\,,\\
\text{(5)}\qquad \sum_{t,s}\theta^{t,s}_{\alpha,a}\,\overline{\theta^{t,s}_{\alpha,b}} &= \sum_t \lambda^t_{i,a}\,\overline{\lambda^t_{i,b}} + \sum_t \lambda^t_{j,a}\,\overline{\lambda^t_{j,b}}\\
&= \langle U_i\xi_a, U_i\xi_b\rangle + \langle U_j\xi_a, U_j\xi_b\rangle = 2\langle \xi_a, \xi_b\rangle\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The relations (4) and (5) hold for all $0 \leq i < j \leq 2n$, and all $a, b = 1, 2, \ldots, k$.

For each fixed $t \in T$, $\alpha = (i_0, j_0)$, $0 \leq i_0 < j_0 \leq 2n$, and each $s = 0, 1, 2, 3$, let $\left(H^{\alpha,s,t}, \left(U_i^{\alpha,s,t}\right)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\eta_a^{\alpha,s,t})_{a=1}^{k}\right)$ be the triplet constructed in Lemma 2 for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^s$. (This triplet does not depend on t, but for each t we consider one copy.) The matrix associated to this triplet is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\alpha,s,t}_{ia,jb} &= 0 \qquad \text{if } \{i,j\} \not\subseteq \{i_0,j_0\} \text{ and } i \neq j, \\ X^{\alpha,s,t}_{ia,ib} &= 1, \\ X^{\alpha,s,t}_{i_0a,j_0b} &= \varepsilon^s,\ X^{\alpha,s,t}_{j_0a,i_0b} = \overline{\varepsilon^s} \\ &\qquad \text{for all } a,b = 1,2,\ldots,k. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Let Λ be the set of pairs

$$\Lambda = \{(i,j) \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq 2n\}\,.$$

Let $\mu^{\alpha,s,t}_a = \theta^{s,t}_{\alpha,a}$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $s = 0,1,2,3$, $t \in T$. We apply Lemma 1 (and the following remark) to the direct sum of the triplets $\left(H^{\alpha,s,t}, \left(U^{\alpha,s,t}_i\right)^{2n}_{i=1}, (\eta^{\alpha,s,t}_a)^k_{a=1}\right)$. In the direct sum $\tilde{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha,s,t} H^{\alpha,s,t}$, $\tilde{U}_i = \bigoplus_{\alpha,s,t} U^{\alpha,s,t}_i$, $i = 1,2,\ldots,2n$, we consider the vectors $\tilde{\eta}_a = \bigoplus_{\alpha,s,t} \mu^{\alpha,s,t}_a \eta^{\alpha,s,t}_a$.

By Lemma 1, for fixed $i_0 < j_0$, $a,b = 1,2,\ldots,k$, we have

$$\left\langle \tilde{U}_{i_0}\tilde{\eta}_a, U_{j_0}\tilde{\eta}_b \right\rangle = \sum_{\alpha,s,t} \mu^{\alpha,s,t}_a \mu^{\alpha,s,t}_b X^{\alpha,s,t}_{i_0a,j_0b}.$$

By the relation (6), and since $i_0 < j_0$, an entry in the matrix $X^{\alpha,s,t}_{i_0a,j_0b}$ is nonzero only when α is equal to (i_0,j_0), and is equal in this case to ε^s. Thus, with $\alpha_0 = (i_0,j_0)$ and using the relation (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \tilde{U}_{i_0}\eta_a, \tilde{U}_{j_0}\eta_b \right\rangle &= \sum_{s,t} \varepsilon^s \mu^{\alpha_0,s,t}_a \mu^{\alpha_0,s,t}_b \\ &= \sum_{s,t} \varepsilon^s \theta^{t,s}_{\alpha_0,a}\, \overline{\theta^{t,s}_{\alpha_0,b}} \\ &= \langle U_{i_0}\xi_a, U_{j_0}\xi_b\rangle \qquad \text{for all } a,b = 1,\ldots,k. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Since also $\langle U_{j_0}\xi_b, U_{i_0}\xi_a\rangle = \overline{\langle U_{i_0}\xi_a, U_{j_0}\xi_b\rangle}$ and similarly for $\tilde{U}_i\tilde{\eta}_a$, it follows that relation (7) holds for all $i_0 \neq j_0$, $0 \leq i_0, j_0 \leq 2n$.

Similar computations yield the value of $\langle \tilde{\eta}_a, \tilde{\eta}_b\rangle$. Indeed, by the relation (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\eta}_a, \tilde{\eta}_b\rangle &= \sum_{\alpha,s,t} \mu^{\alpha,s,t}_a\, \overline{\mu^{\alpha,s,t}_b} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda} \sum_{s,t} \theta^{t,s}_{\alpha,a}\, \overline{\theta^{t,s}_{\alpha,b}} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda} 2\,\langle \xi_a, \xi_b\rangle = \frac{N^2-N}{2}\cdot 2\,\langle \xi_a, \xi_b\rangle = \left(N^2-N\right)\langle \xi_a, \xi_b\rangle\,. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 1 and 2, the triplet $\left(\tilde{H}, \left(\tilde{U}_i\right)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\tilde{\eta}_a)_{a=1}^{k}\right)$ is in tensor position. This completes the proof of Lemma 3. □

We now can prove the main result. We will show that on $\mathcal{X} = \mathrm{Sp}\{1 \otimes 1, U_1 \otimes 1, \ldots, U_n \otimes 1, 1 \otimes U_1, \ldots, 1 \otimes U_n\}$, the matrix norm structures induced by the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ on $C^*(F_n) \otimes C^*(F_n)$ are comparable by a factor $(N^2 - N)^{1/2}$.

In particular this shows (in the terminology introduced in [Pi1]) that the δ_{cb} multiplicative distance between the two operator spaces is less than $(N^2 - N)^{1/2}$. (By [Pi1], this distance is at most N.)

Theorem. *Let n, k be integers, $n \geq 2$, $k \geq 1$. Let F_n be the free group on n generators $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_n$. Consider the vector subspace $\mathcal{X}$ of $C^*(F_n) \otimes C^*(F_n)$ spanned by $\{1 \otimes 1, V_1 \otimes 1, \ldots, V_n \otimes 1, 1 \otimes V_1, \ldots, 1 \otimes V_n\}$. Clearly $\mathcal{X}$ has dimension $N = 2n + 1$.*

By embedding $\mathcal{X}$ into $C^*(F_n) \otimes_{\min} C^*(F_n)$ or $C^*(F_n) \otimes_{\max} C^*(F_n)$ respectively, we get two corresponding norms on $\mathcal{X} \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$, denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$.

Let F_{2n} be the free group with $2n$ generators $W_1, \ldots, W_{2n}$. We also identify $\mathcal{X}$ with a subspace of the full C^*-algebra $C^*(F_{2n})$ by mapping $1 \otimes 1$ into 1 and $V_1 \otimes 1, \ldots, V_n \otimes 1$ into $W_1, \ldots, W_n$ and $1 \otimes V_1, \ldots, 1 \otimes V_n$ into $W_{n+1}, \ldots, W_{2n}$ respectively. For X in $\mathcal{X} \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$ we denote the corresponding norm coming from this embedding by $\|X\|_{C^*(F_{2n})}$.

Then for all X in $\mathcal{X} \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$\|X\|_{\min} \leq \|X\|_{\max} \leq \|X\|_{C^*(F_{2n})} \leq \left(N^2 - N\right)^{1/2} \|X\|_{\min}.$$

Proof. Let $(e_{a,b})_{a,b=1}^{k}$ be a matrix unit in $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ and let

$$X = \sum_{r,s=1}^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \lambda_{r,s}^{i} W_i \otimes e_{r,s}, \qquad \lambda_{r,s}^{i} \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{8}$$

be an arbitrary element in $M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. (We denote by W_0 the identity.)

Then obviously

$$X^* X = \sum_{a,b=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{\substack{i,j=0 \\ i \neq j}}^{2n} A_{ia,jb} W_i^* W_j + B_{a,b} \,\mathrm{Id} \right) \otimes e_{a,b}, \tag{9}$$

where for $i \neq j$, $i, j = 0, \dots, 2n$, $1 \leq a, b \leq k$, we have

$$A_{ia,jb} = \sum_{r=1}^{k} \lambda_{r,a}^{i} \, \overline{\lambda_{r,b}^{i}} \,, \tag{10}$$

$$B_{a,b} = \sum_{r=1}^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \lambda_{r,a}^{i} \, \overline{\lambda_{r,b}^{i}} \,. \tag{11}$$

Clearly the matrix $\sum_{a,b} B_{a,b} \otimes e_{a,b}$ is positive. By definition, the $C^*(F_{2n})$-norm of a noncommutative polynomial P in $\mathrm{Id}, W_1, \dots, W_{2n}$ is computed by taking the supremum, over all unitaries $U_1, \dots, U_{2n}$, of the norms of the operators obtained by replacing in P the unitaries W_i by U_i, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$.

By [Cho], $C^*(F_{2n})$ is residually finite ([Wa], [Vo], [BL]), and hence we can restrict to a supremum over unitaries acting on finite-dimensional vector spaces.

As a consequence, the square of the $\| \cdot \|_{C^*(F_{2n})}$ norm of the element X is computed as the supremum, over all finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces H, all $2n$-tuples of unitaries $U_1, \dots, U_{2n}$ acting on H and all vectors $\xi = (\xi_a)_{a=1}^{k}$ in $H \oplus H \oplus \dots \oplus H$, $\sum_{a=1}^{k} \|\xi_a\|^2 = 1$, of the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \|X\xi\|^2 &= \langle X^* X \xi, \xi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{a,b} \left(\sum_{\substack{i,j=0 \\ i \neq j}}^{2n} A_{ia,jb} \langle U_j \xi_a, U_i \xi_b \rangle + B_{a,b} \langle \xi_a, \xi_b \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly the norm $\|X\|_{\min}$ will be computed as the supremum of the same quantities, with the additional condition that the unitaries $U_1, \dots, U_{2n}$ are represented on a Hilbert space $H = K_1 \otimes K_2$, and there are unitaries $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, respectively $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$, on K_1, respectively K_2, such that $U_i = \alpha_i \otimes 1$, $U_{i+n} = 1 \otimes \beta_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Hence for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a triplet $\left(H, (U_i)_{i=1}^{2n}, (\xi_a)_{a=1}^{k}\right)$ consisting of a finite-dimensional vector space, $2n$ unitaries on H and k vectors in H, such that (with $U_0 = \mathrm{Id}$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\|X^* X\|_{C^*(F_{2n})} - \varepsilon \\ &\qquad \leq \sum_{a,b=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{\substack{i,j=0 \\ i \neq j}}^{2n} A_{ia,jb} \langle U_j \xi_a, U_i \xi_b \rangle + B_{a,b} \langle \xi_a, \xi_b \rangle \right). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

By Lemma 3 we can find a triplet in tensor position, $\left(\tilde{H}, \left(\tilde{U}_i\right)_{i=1}^{2n}, \left(\tilde{\eta}_a\right)_{a=1}^{k}\right)$, consisting of unitaries $\tilde{U}_i$ on $\tilde{H}$ (with $\tilde{U}_0 = \mathrm{Id}$) and vectors $\tilde{\eta}_a \in \tilde{H}$ such that for all a, b,

$$\langle U_j\xi_a, U_i\xi_b\rangle = \left\langle \tilde{U}_j\tilde{\eta}_a, \tilde{U}_i\tilde{\eta}_b\right\rangle, \qquad i \neq j,\ i,j = 0,\ldots,2n, \tag{13}$$

$$\langle \tilde{\eta}_a, \tilde{\eta}_b\rangle = \left(N^2 - N\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle \xi_a, \xi_b\rangle. \tag{14}$$

The relation (14) implies that

$$\sum_a \|\tilde{\eta}_a\|^2 = \sum_a \langle \tilde{\eta}_a, \tilde{\eta}_a\rangle = \left(N^2 - N\right) \sum_a \|\xi_a\|^2 = \left(N^2 - N\right).$$

Thus, by the definition of the norm $\|X\|_{\min}$, and since $\left(\tilde{U}_i\right)_{i=1}^{2n}$ are in tensor position, it follows that

$$\sum_{a,b}^{k} \left(\sum_{\substack{i,j=0 \\ i\neq j}}^{2n} A_{ia,jb} \left\langle \tilde{U}_j\tilde{\eta}_a, \tilde{U}_i\tilde{\eta}_b\right\rangle + B_{a,b} \langle \tilde{\eta}_a, \tilde{\eta}_b\rangle \right) \leq \left(N^2 - N\right) \|X\|_{\min}^2. \tag{15}$$

Moreover, the relation (14) and the fact that the matrix $\sum_{a,b} B_{a,b} \otimes e_{a,b}$ is positive imply that the right-hand side in the inequality (12) is less than the left-hand side in the inequality in (15). Hence

$$\|X^*X\|_{C^*(F_{2n})} - \varepsilon \leq \left(N^2 - N\right) \|X\|_{\min}^2.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, the result follows. □

References

[BL] M. B. Bekka and N. Louvet, *Some properties of C^*-algebras associated to discrete linear groups*, C^*-algebras (Münster, 1999) (Joachim Cuntz and Siegfried Echterhoff, eds.), Springer, Berlin, 2000, pp. 1–22.

[BP] David P. Blecher and Vern I. Paulsen, *Explicit construction of universal operator algebras and applications to polynomial factorization*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1991), no. 3, 839–850.

[Cho] Man Duen Choi, *The full C^*-algebra of the free group on two generators*, Pacific J. Math. **87** (1980), no. 1, 41–48.

[Co] A. Connes, *Classification of injective factors. Cases II_1, II_∞, III_λ, $\lambda \neq 1$*, Ann. of Math. (2) **104** (1976), no. 1, 73–115.

[EL] Edward G. Effros and E. Christopher Lance, *Tensor products of operator algebras*, Adv. Math. **25** (1977), no. 1, 1–34.

[ER] Edward G. Effros and Zhong-Jin Ruan, *On matricially normed spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **132** (1988), no. 2, 243–264.

[Ki] Eberhard Kirchberg, *On nonsemisplit extensions, tensor products and exactness of group C^*-algebras*, Invent. Math. **112** (1993), no. 3, 449–489.

[Pe] Gert K. Pedersen, *C^*-algebras and Their Automorphism Groups*, Academic Press, London, 1979.

[Pi1] Gilles Pisier, *The operator Hilbert space* OH*, complex interpolation and tensor norms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1996), no. 585, viii+103.

[Pi2] Gilles Pisier, *A simple proof of a theorem of Kirchberg and related results on C^*-norms*, J. Operator Theory **35** (1996), no. 2, 317–335.

[Ta] Masamichi Takesaki, *On the cross-norm of the direct product of C^*-algebras*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **16** (1964), 111–122.

[Vo] Dan Voiculescu, *Property T and approximation of operators*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **22** (1990), no. 1, 25–30.

[Wa] Simon Wassermann, *Exact C^*-algebras and related topics*, Seoul National University Research Institute of Mathematics Global Analysis Research Center, Seoul, 1994.

Department of Mathematics, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, U.S.A.